SENATE BILL NO. 395

June 15, 2023, Introduced by Senator POLEHANKI and referred to the Committee on Education.

A bill to amend 1976 PA 451, entitled "The revised school code,"

by amending sections 1230b, 1249, 1249b, and 1280f (MCL 380.1230b, 380.1249, 380.1249b, and 380.1280f), section 1230b as added by 1996 PA 189, section 1249 as amended by 2019 PA 6, section 1249b as amended by 2019 PA 5, and section 1280f as amended by 2023 PA 7; and to repeal acts and parts of acts.

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN ENACT:

1 Sec. 1230b. (1) Before hiring an applicant for employment, a

- 1 school district, local act school district, public school academy,
- 2 intermediate school district, or nonpublic school shall request the
- 3 applicant for employment to sign a statement that does both of the
- 4 following:
- 5 (a) Authorizes the applicant's current or former employer or
- 6 employers to disclose to the school district, local act school
- 7 district, public school academy, intermediate school district, or
- 8 nonpublic school any unprofessional conduct by the applicant and to
- 9 make available to the school district, local act school district,
- 10 public school academy, intermediate school district, or nonpublic
- 11 school copies of all documents in the employee's personnel record
- 12 maintained by the current or former employer relating to that
- 13 unprofessional conduct.
- 14 (b) Releases the current or former employer, and employees
- 15 acting on behalf of the current or former employer, from any
- 16 liability for providing information described in subdivision (a),
- 17 as provided in subsection (3), and waives any written notice
- 18 required under section 6 of the Bullard-Plawecki employee right to
- 19 know act, Act No. 397 of the Public Acts of 1978, being section
- 20 423.506 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.1978 PA 397, MCL 423.506.
- 21 (2) Before hiring an applicant for employment, a school
- 22 district, local act school district, public school academy,
- 23 intermediate school district, or nonpublic school shall request at
- 24 least the applicant's current employer or, if the applicant is not
- 25 currently employed, the applicant's immediately previous employer
- 26 to provide the information described in subsection (1)(a), if any.
- 27 The request shall must include a copy of the statement signed by
- 28 the applicant under subsection (1).
- 29 (3) Not By not later than 20 business days after receiving a

- 1 request under subsection (2), an employer shall provide the
- 2 information requested and make available to the requesting school
- 3 district, local act school district, public school academy,
- 4 intermediate school district, or nonpublic school copies of all
- 5 documents in the employee's personnel record relating to the
- 6 unprofessional conduct. An employer, or an employee acting on
- 7 behalf of the employer, that discloses information under this
- 8 section in good faith is immune from civil liability for the
- 9 disclosure. An employer, or an employee acting on behalf of the
- 10 employer, is presumed to be acting in good faith at the time of a
- 11 disclosure under this section unless a preponderance of the
- 12 evidence establishes 1 or more of the following:
- 13 (a) That the employer, or employee, knew the information
- 14 disclosed was false or misleading.
- 15 (b) That the employer, or employee, disclosed the information
- 16 with a reckless disregard for the truth.
- 17 (c) That the disclosure was specifically prohibited by a state
- 18 or federal statute.
- 19 (4) The board, board of directors, or governing body of a
- 20 school district, local act school district, public school academy,
- 21 intermediate school district, or nonpublic school shall not hire an
- 22 applicant who does not sign the statement described in subsection
- **23** (1).
- 24 (5) Information received under this section shall must be used
- 25 by a school district, local act school district, public school
- 26 academy, intermediate school district, or nonpublic school only for
- 27 the purpose of evaluating an applicant's qualifications for
- 28 employment in the position for which he or she has applied. Except
- 29 as otherwise provided by law, a board member or employee of a

- 1 school district, local act school district, public school academy,
- 2 intermediate school district, or nonpublic school shall not
- 3 disclose the information to any person, individual, other than the
- 4 applicant, who is not directly involved in the process of
- 5 evaluating the applicant's qualifications for employment. A person
- 6 An individual who violates this subsection is quilty of a
- 7 misdemeanor punishable by a fine of not more than \$10,000.00, but
- 8 is not subject to the penalties under section 1804.
- 9 (6) The board, the board of directors, the governing body, or
- 10 an official of a school district, local act school district, public
- 11 school academy, intermediate school district, or nonpublic school
- 12 shall not enter into a collective bargaining agreement, individual
- 13 employment contract, resignation agreement, severance agreement, or
- 14 any other contract or agreement that has the effect of suppressing
- 15 information about unprofessional conduct of an employee or former
- 16 employee or of expunging information about that unprofessional
- 17 conduct from personnel records. Any provision of a contract or
- 18 agreement that is contrary to this subsection is void and
- 19 unenforceable. This subsection does not restrict the expungement
- 20 from a personnel file of information about alleged unprofessional
- 21 conduct that has not been substantiated.
- 22 (7) This section does not prevent a school district, local act
- 23 school district, public school academy, intermediate school
- 24 district, or nonpublic school from requesting or requiring an
- 25 applicant for employment to provide information other than that
- 26 described in this section.
- 27 (8) As used in this section:
- 28 (a) "Personnel record" means that term as defined in section 1
- 29 of Act No. 397 of the Public Acts of 1978, being section 423.501 of

the Michigan Compiled Laws.the Bullard-Plawecki employee right to
know act, 1978 PA 397, MCL 423.501.

(b) "Unprofessional conduct" means 1 or more acts of misconduct; 1 or more acts of immorality, moral turpitude, or inappropriate behavior involving a minor; or commission of a crime involving a minor. A criminal conviction is not an essential element of determining whether or not a particular act constitutes unprofessional conduct.

Sec. 1249. (1) Subject to subsection (4), with This section does not prohibit, impair, or limit the right or duty of a public school employer and a collective bargaining representative to engage in collective bargaining over the topic of performance evaluations under 1947 PA 336, MCL 423.201 to 423.217. However, a collective bargaining agreement must include, at a minimum, the standards in this section. With the involvement of teachers and school administrators, and after collective bargaining with any collective bargaining representative of teachers and school administrators, the board of a school district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a public school academy shall adopt and implement for all teachers and school administrators a rigorous, transparent, and fair performance evaluation system that does at least all of the following:

- (a) Evaluates the teacher's or school administrator's job performance at least annually while providing timely and constructive feedback.
- (b) Establishes clear approaches to measuring student growth and provides teachers and school administrators with relevant data on student growth.
- 29 (b) (c)—Evaluates a teacher's or school administrator's job

- performance, using multiple rating categories. that take into 1 2 account student growth and assessment data. Student growth must be measured using multiple measures that may include student learning 3 objectives, achievement of individualized education program goals, 4 5 nationally normed or locally developed assessments that are aligned 6 to state standards, research-based growth measures, or alternative 7 assessments that are rigorous and comparable across schools within 8 the school district, intermediate school district, or public school 9 academy. If the Before July 1, 2024, the performance evaluation 10 system implemented by a school district, intermediate school 11 district, or public school academy under this section does not already must include the rating of teachers as highly effective, 12 effective, minimally effective, and ineffective. , then the school 13 14 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 15 shall revise the performance evaluation system not later than 16 September 19, 2011 to ensure that it rates teachers as highly effective, effective, minimally effective, or ineffective. Beginning 17 18 July 1, 2024, the performance evaluation system implemented by a school district, intermediate school district, or public school 19 20 academy under this section must include the rating of teachers as effective, satisfactory, and needing support. 21
- (c) (d) Uses the evaluations, at a minimum, to inform
 decisions regarding all both of the following:

25

26

2728

- (i) The effectiveness of teachers and school administrators, ensuring that they are given ample opportunities for improvement.
- (ii) Promotion, retention, and development Development of teachers and school administrators, including providing relevant coaching, instruction support, or professional development.
- 29 (iii) Whether to grant tenure or full certification, or both, to

teachers and school administrators using rigorous standards and streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.

- (iv) Removing ineffective tenured and untenured teachers and school administrators after they have had ample opportunities to improve, and ensuring that these decisions are made using rigorous standards and streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.
- (2) The board of a school district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a public school academy shall ensure that the performance evaluation system for teachers meets at least all of the following:
- (a) The Except as otherwise provided under this subsection, the performance evaluation system must include at least an annual a year-end evaluation for all teachers. An annual The year-end evaluation must meet all both of the following:
- (i) For the 2018-2019 school year, 25% of the annual year-end evaluation must be based on student growth and assessment data.

 Beginning with the 2019-2020 school year, 40% of the annual year-end evaluation must be based on student growth and assessment data.
- (ii) For core content areas in grades and subjects in which state assessments are administered, 50% of student growth must be measured using the state assessments, and the portion of student growth not measured using state assessments must be measured using multiple research-based growth measures or alternative assessments that are rigorous and comparable across schools within the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy. Student growth also may be measured by student learning objectives or nationally normed or locally adopted assessments that are aligned to state standards, or based on achievement of individualized education program goals.

(i) (iii) The portion of a teacher's annual year-end evaluation that is not based on student growth and assessment data, as described under subparagraph (i), The evaluation must be based primarily on a teacher's performance as measured by the evaluation tool developed or adopted by the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy under subdivision (f).(e).

- (ii) (iv) The Any portion of a teacher's evaluation that is not measured using student growth and assessment data, as described under subparagraph (i), or using the evaluation tool developed or adopted by the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy, as described under subparagraph (iii), must incorporate criteria enumerated in section 1248(1)(b)(i) to (iii) that are not otherwise evaluated under subparagraph (i) or (iii).
- (b) If there are student growth and assessment data available for a teacher for at least 3 school years, the annual year-end evaluation must be based on the student growth and assessment data for the most recent 3-consecutive-school-year period. If there are not student growth and assessment data available for a teacher for at least 3 school years, the annual year-end evaluation must be based on all student growth and assessment data that are available for the teacher.must be based on objective criteria.
- (b) (c)—The annual—year-end evaluation must include specific performance goals that will assist in improving effectiveness for the next school year and are developed by the school administrator or his or her—the school administrator's designee conducting the evaluation, in consultation with the teacher, and any recommended training identified by the school administrator or designee, in consultation with the teacher, that would assist the teacher in

- 1 meeting these goals. For a teacher described in subdivision (d),
- 2 (c), the school administrator or designee shall develop, in
- 3 consultation with the teacher, an individualized development plan
- 4 that includes these goals and training and is designed to assist
- 5 the teacher to improve his or her the teacher's effectiveness.
- 6 (c) (d) The performance evaluation system must include a
- 7 midyear progress report for a teacher who is in the first year of
- 8 the probationary period prescribed by under section 1 of article II
- 9 of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, or who received a rating of
- 10 minimally effective, or ineffective, needing support, or
- 11 satisfactory in his or her the teacher's most recent annual year-
- 12 end evaluation. The midyear progress report must be used as a
- 13 supplemental tool to gauge a teacher's improvement from the
- 14 preceding school year and to assist a teacher to improve. All of
- 15 the following apply to the midyear progress report:
- 16 (i) The midyear progress report must be based at least in part
- 17 on student achievement.
- 18 (i) $\frac{(ii)}{(ii)}$ The midyear progress report must be aligned with the
- 19 teacher's individualized development plan under subdivision
- 20 $\frac{(c)}{(b)}$.
- 21 (ii) (iii) The midyear progress report must include specific
- 22 performance goals for the remainder of the school year that are
- 23 developed by the school administrator conducting the annual year-
- 24 end evaluation or his or her the school administrator's designee
- 25 and any recommended training identified by the school administrator
- 26 or designee that would assist the teacher in meeting these goals.
- 27 At the midyear progress report, the school administrator or
- 28 designee shall develop, in consultation with the teacher, a written
- 29 improvement plan that includes these goals and training and is

- 1 designed to assist the teacher to improve his or her the teacher's
 2 rating.
- 3 (iii) (iv) The midyear progress report must not take the place of
 4 an annual a year-end evaluation.
- (d) (e) The performance evaluation system must include
 classroom observations to assist in the performance evaluations.
- 7 All of the following apply to these classroom observations:

conducting the observation and the teacher.

13

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 8 (i) A classroom observation must include a review of the
 9 teacher's lesson plan and the state curriculum standard being used
 10 in the lesson and a review of pupil engagement in the lesson. The
 11 items described in this subparagraph must be discussed during a
 12 post-observation meeting between the school administrator
- 14 (ii) A classroom observation must be not less than 15 minutes15 but does not have to be for an entire class period.
- 16 (iii) Unless a teacher has received a rating of effective or
 17 highly effective on his or her 2 most recent annual year-end
 18 evaluations, there There must be at least 2 classroom observations
 19 of the a teacher in each school year that the teacher is evaluated.
 20 At least 1 One observation must may be unscheduled.
 - (iv) The school administrator responsible for the teacher's performance evaluation shall conduct at least 1 of the observations. Other observations may be conducted by other observers who are trained in the use of the evaluation tool that is used under subdivision (f). (e). These other observers may be teacher leaders.
- (ν) A school district, intermediate school district, or public
 school academy shall ensure that, within 30 calendar days after
 each observation, the teacher is provided with written feedback

1 from the observation.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- 2 (e) (f)—For the purposes of conducting annual—year-end 3 evaluations under the performance evaluation system, by the beginning of the 2016-2017 school year, the school district, 4 5 intermediate school district, or public school academy shall adopt 6 and implement 1 or more of the evaluation tools for teachers that 7 are included on the list under subsection (5). (4). However, if a 8 school district, intermediate school district, or public school 9 academy has 1 or more local evaluation tools for teachers or 10 modifications of an evaluation tool on the list under subsection 11 (5), (4), and the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy complies with subsection (3), the school 12 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy 13 14 may conduct annual year-end evaluations for teachers using 1 or 15 more local evaluation tools or modifications. The evaluation tools 16 must be used consistently among the schools operated by a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy so 17 18 that all similarly situated teachers are evaluated using the same 19 evaluation tool.
 - (f) (g) The Before July 1, 2024, the performance evaluation system must assign an effectiveness a rating to each teacher of highly effective, effective, minimally effective, or ineffective, based on his or her score on the annual the teacher's year-end evaluation described in this subsection. Beginning July 1, 2024, the performance evaluation system must assign a rating to each teacher of effective, satisfactory, or needing support based on the teacher's year-end evaluation described in this subsection. An evaluation and feedback concerning the evaluation must be provided, in writing, to the teacher being evaluated. However, if a written

1 evaluation is not provided, the teacher is deemed effective.

- 2 (g) A teacher shall not be assigned an evaluation rating and 3 shall be designated as nonevaluated for a school year if any of the 4 following apply to the teacher:
 - (i) The teacher worked less than 60 days in that school year.
- 6 (ii) The teacher's evaluation results were vacated through the 7 grievance procedure described in subdivision (l).
 - (iii) There are extenuating circumstances and the teacher and the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy agree to designate the teacher as nonevaluated because of the extenuating circumstances.
 - (h) If a teacher receives a nonevaluated designation under subdivision (g), the teacher's rating from the school year immediately before that designation must be used for consecutive purposes under this section.
 - (i) (h)—As part of the performance evaluation system, and in addition to the requirements of section 1526, a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy is encouraged to shall assign a mentor or coach to each teacher who is described in subdivision (d).(c).
 - (i) The performance evaluation system may allow for exemption of student growth data for a particular pupil for a school year upon the recommendation of the school administrator conducting the annual year-end evaluation or his or her designee and approval of the school district superintendent or his or her designee, intermediate superintendent or his or her designee, or chief administrator of the public school academy, as applicable.
- 28 (j) The performance evaluation system must provide that, if a 29 teacher is rated as ineffective or needing support on 3 consecutive

- 1 annual year-end evaluations, the school district, intermediate
- 2 school district, or public school academy shall, subject to 1937
- 3 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.71 to 38.191, dismiss the teacher from $\frac{\text{his}}{\text{c}}$
- 4 or her the teacher's employment. This Subject to 1937 (Ex Sess) PA
- 5 4, MCL 38.71 to 38.191, this subdivision does not affect the
- 6 ability of a school district, intermediate school district, or
- 7 public school academy to dismiss a teacher from his or her the
- 8 teacher's employment regardless of whether the teacher is rated as
- 9 ineffective or needing support on 3 consecutive annual—year-end
- 10 evaluations.
- 11 (k) The performance evaluation system must provide that, if a
- 12 teacher who is not in a probationary period under section 1 of
- 13 article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, is rated as highly
- 14 effective or effective on the 3 most recent consecutive annual
- 15 year-end evaluations, the school district, intermediate school
- 16 district, or public school academy may choose to conduct a year-end
- 17 evaluation biennially or triennially instead of annually. However,
- 18 if a teacher who is not in a probationary period under section 1 of
- 19 article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, is not rated as
- 20 highly effective on 1 of these biennial or triennial year-end
- 21 evaluations, the teacher shall again be provided with annual year-
- 22 end evaluations.
- 23 (l) The performance evaluation system must provide that, if for
- 24 a teacher who is not in a probationary period prescribed by under
- 25 section 1 of article II of 1937 (Ex Sess) PA 4, MCL 38.81, and is
- 26 rated as ineffective needing support on an annual a year-end
- 27 evaluation, the teacher following options must be made available to
- 28 the teacher:
- 29 (i) The teacher may request a review of the evaluation and the

- 1 rating by the school district superintendent, intermediate
- 2 superintendent, or chief administrator of the public school
- 3 academy, as applicable. The request for a review must be submitted
- 4 in writing within 20-30 calendar days after the teacher is informed
- 5 of the rating. Upon receipt of the request, the school district
- 6 superintendent, intermediate superintendent, or chief administrator
- 7 of the public school academy, as applicable, shall review the
- 8 evaluation and rating and may make any modifications as appropriate
- 9 based on his or her the school district superintendent's,
- 10 intermediate superintendent's, or chief administrator's review.
- 11 However, the performance evaluation system must not allow for a
- 12 review as described in this subdivision more than twice in a 3-
- 13 school-year period.A written response regarding the school district
- 14 superintendent's, intermediate superintendent's, or chief
- 15 administrator's findings must be provided to the teacher who
- 16 requested the review by not later than 30 calendar days after
- 17 receipt of the request for a review and before making any
- 18 modifications under this section.
- 19 (ii) If the written response from the school district
- 20 superintendent's, intermediate superintendent's, or chief
- 21 administrator's review does not resolve the matter, the teacher or
- 22 collective bargaining unit may demand state mediation concerning
- 23 the evaluation process.
- 24 (iii) If a teacher receives 2 consecutive ratings of needing
- 25 support, the teacher may demand to use the grievance procedure of
- 26 an applicable collective bargaining agreement that concerns the
- 27 teacher's second evaluation rating and the evaluation process.
- 28 (m) The school district, intermediate school district, or
- 29 public school academy shall provide training to teachers on the

- 1 evaluation tool or tools used by the school district, intermediate
- 2 school district, or public school academy in its performance
- 3 evaluation system and on how each evaluation tool is used. This
- 4 training may be provided by a school district, intermediate school
- 5 district, or public school academy, or by a consortium consisting
- 6 of 2 or more of these.
- 7 (n) A school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 8 school academy shall ensure that training is provided to all
- 9 evaluators and observers. The training must be provided by an
- 10 individual who has expertise in the evaluation tool or tools used
- 11 by the school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 12 school academy which that may include either a consultant on that
- 13 evaluation tool or framework or an individual who has been trained
- 14 to train others in the use of the evaluation tool or tools. This
- 15 subdivision does not prohibit a school district, intermediate
- 16 school district, public school academy, or consortium consisting of
- 17 2 or more of these, from providing the training in the use of the
- 18 evaluation tool or tools if the trainer has expertise in the
- 19 evaluation tool or tools.
- 20 (3) A school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 21 school academy shall post on its public website all of the
- 22 following information about the evaluation tool or tools it uses
- 23 for its performance evaluation system for teachers:
- 24 (a) The research base for the evaluation framework,
- 25 instrument, and process or, if the school district, intermediate
- 26 school district, or public school academy adapts or modifies an
- 27 evaluation tool from the list under subsection (5), (4), the
- 28 research base for the listed evaluation tool and an assurance that
- 29 the adaptations or modifications do not compromise the validity of

1 that research base.

- 2 (b) The identity and qualifications of the author or authors
 3 or, if the school district, intermediate school district, or public
 4 school academy adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the list
 5 under subsection (5), (4), the identity and qualifications of a
 6 person with expertise in teacher evaluations who has reviewed the
 7 adapted or modified evaluation tool.
 - (c) Either evidence of reliability, validity, and efficacy or a plan for developing that evidence or, if the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the list under subsection (5), (4), an assurance that the adaptations or modifications do not compromise the reliability, validity, or efficacy of the evaluation tool or the evaluation process.
- (d) The evaluation frameworks and rubrics with detaileddescriptors for each performance level on key summative indicators.
- (e) A description of the processes for conducting classroom
 observations, collecting evidence, conducting evaluation
 conferences, developing performance ratings, and developing
 performance improvement plans.
 - (f) A description of the plan for providing evaluators and observers with training.
 - (4) If a collective bargaining agreement was in effect for teachers or school administrators of a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy as of July 19, 2011, if that same collective bargaining agreement is still in effect as of November 5, 2015, and if that collective bargaining agreement prevents compliance with subsection (1), then subsection (1) does not apply to that school district, intermediate school

```
1 district, or public school academy until after the expiration of
2 that collective bargaining agreement.
```

- (4) (5) The department shall establish and maintain a list of 3 teacher evaluation tools that have demonstrated evidence of 4 5 efficacy and that may be used for the purposes of this section. 6 That list initially must include at least the evaluation models 7 recommended in the final recommendations released by the Michigan 8 council on educator effectiveness in July 2013. The list must 9 include a statement indicating that school districts, intermediate 10 school districts, and public school academies are not limited to 11 only using the evaluation tools that are included on the list. A 12 school district, intermediate school district, or public school 13 academy is not required to use an evaluation tool for teacher 14 evaluations that is the same as it uses for school administrator 15 evaluations or that has the same author or authors as the 16 evaluation tool it uses for school administrator evaluations. The 17 department shall promulgate rules establishing standards and 18 procedures for adding an evaluation tool to or removing an 19 evaluation tool from the list. These rules must include a process 20 for a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to submit its own evaluation tool for review for 21 22 placement on the list.
- 23 (6) The training required under subsection (2) must be paid
 24 for from the funds available in the educator evaluation reserve
 25 fund created under section 95a of the state school aid act of 1979,
 26 MCL 388.1695a.
- (7) This section does not affect the operation or
 applicability of section 1248.
- 29 (5) By not later than September 1, 2024, and every 3 years

- 1 thereafter, each individual who conducts an evaluation under this
- 2 section or section 1249b shall complete a rater reliability
- 3 training provided by the school district, intermediate school
- 4 district, public school academy, or the entity that employs the
- 5 individual. The training must include at least all of the
- 6 following:
- 7 (a) A clear and consistent set of evaluation criteria that all
- 8 evaluators can use when assessing teacher performance.
- 9 (b) Clear expectations for what evaluators should look for
- 10 when assessing teacher performance, including identifying key
- 11 behaviors and practices that are associated with effective
- 12 teaching.
- (c) Training on the evaluation process itself, including how
- 14 to conduct classroom observations, collect data, and analyze
- 15 results.
- 16 (d) Calibration exercises that help evaluators practice using
- 17 the evaluation criteria and establish consistency in the
- 18 evaluator's evaluations.
- 19 (e) Ongoing support for evaluators, including feedback and
- 20 coaching to help the evaluators improve their skills and ensure
- 21 they are consistently applying the evaluation criteria.
- 22 (6) (8) As used in this section, "teacher" means an individual
- 23 who has a valid Michigan teaching certificate or authorization or
- 24 who is engaged to teach under section 1233b; who is employed, or
- 25 contracted for, by a school district, intermediate school district,
- 26 or public school academy; and who is assigned by the school
- 27 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to
- 28 deliver direct instruction to pupils in any of grades K to 12 as a
- 29 teacher of record.

```
1
          Sec. 1249b. (1) The This section does not prohibit, impair, or
 2
    limit the right or duty of a public school employer and a
 3
    collective bargaining representative to engage in collective
 4
    bargaining over the topic of performance evaluations under 1947 PA
 5
    336, MCL 423.201 to 423.217. However, a collective bargaining
 6
    agreement must include, at a minimum, the standards in this
 7
    section. After collective bargaining with any collective bargaining
 8
    representative to school administrators, the board of a school
 9
    district or intermediate school district or board of directors of a
10
    public school academy shall ensure that the performance evaluation
11
    system for building-level school administrators and for central-
12
    office-level school administrators who are regularly involved in
13
    instructional matters meets at least all of the following:
14
          (a) The Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the
15
    performance evaluation system must include at least an annual a
16
    year-end evaluation for all school administrators described in this
17
    subsection by the school district superintendent or his or her the
18
    school district superintendent's designee, intermediate
    superintendent or his or her the intermediate superintendent's
19
20
    designee, or chief administrator of the public school academy, as
21
    applicable. However, a superintendent or chief administrator shall
22
    be evaluated by the board or board of directors or, if the
23
    superintendent or chief administrator is not employed directly by
24
    the board or board of directors, by the designee of the board or
25
    board of directors. A superintendent or chief administrator shall
26
    be evaluated in even-numbered calendar years.
27
          (b) For the 2018-2019 school year, 25% of the annual
28
    evaluation must be based on student growth and assessment data.
```

Beginning with the 2019-2020 school year, 40% of the annual

29

- 1 evaluation must be based on student growth and assessment data. The
 2 student growth and assessment data to be used for the school
- 3 administrator annual evaluation are the aggregate student growth
- 4 and assessment data that are used in teacher annual year-end
- 5 evaluations in each school in which the school administrator works
- 6 as an administrator or, for a central-office-level school
- 7 administrator, for the entire school district or intermediate
- 8 school district.

this evaluation tool.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

- - (c) (d) The Any portion of the annual evaluation that is not based on student growth and assessment data as provided under subdivision (b) or on an evaluation tool as provided under subdivision (c) (b) must be based on at least the following for each school in which the school administrator works as an administrator or, for a central office level school administrator, for the entire school district or intermediate school district:
 - (i) If the school administrator conducts teacher performance evaluations, the school administrator's proficiency in using the evaluation tool for teachers used by the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy under section 1249. If the school administrator designates another person to conduct teacher performance evaluations, the evaluation of the

- school administrator on this factor must be based on the designee's
 proficiency in using the evaluation tool for teachers used by the
 school district, intermediate school district, or public school
 academy under section 1249, with the designee's performance to be
 counted as if it were the school administrator personally
 conducting the teacher performance evaluations.
 - (ii) The progress made by the school or school district in meeting the goals set forth in the school's school improvement plan or the school district's school improvement plans.
- 10 (iii) Pupil attendance in the school or school district.

- (iv) Student, parent, and teacher feedback, as available, and other information considered pertinent by the superintendent or other school administrator conducting the performance evaluation or the board or board of directors.objective criteria.
- (d) (e)—For the purposes of conducting annual—evaluations under the performance evaluation system, by the beginning of the 2016-2017 school year, the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall adopt and implement 1 or more of the evaluation tools for school administrators that are included on the list under subsection (3). However, if a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy has 1 or more local evaluation tools for school administrators or modifications of an evaluation tool on the list under subsection (3), and the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy complies with subsection (2), the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy may conduct annual—year—end evaluations for school administrators using 1 or more local evaluation tools or modifications.
- (e) (f) The evaluation tool and other measures used by the

- 1 school district, intermediate school district, or public school
- 2 academy in its performance evaluation system for school
- 3 administrators must be used consistently across the schools
- 4 operated by a school district, intermediate school district, or
- 5 public school academy so that all similarly situated school
- 6 administrators are evaluated using the same measures.
- 7 (f) (g) The Before July 1, 2024, the performance evaluation
- 8 system must assign an effectiveness a rating to each school
- 9 administrator described in this subsection of highly effective,
- 10 effective, minimally effective, or ineffective. Beginning July 1,
- 11 2024, the performance evaluation system must assign a rating to
- 12 each school administrator described in this subsection of
- 13 effective, satisfactory, or needing support. An evaluation and
- 14 feedback concerning the evaluation must be provided, in writing, to
- 15 the school administrator being evaluated. However, if a written
- 16 evaluation is not provided, the school administrator is deemed
- 17 effective.
- (g) For a building-level school administrator's evaluation,
- 19 the individual conducting the evaluation shall visit the school
- 20 building where the building-level school administrator works,
- 21 review the building-level school administrator's school improvement
- 22 plan, and observe classrooms with the building-level school
- 23 administrator to collect evidence of the school improvement plan
- 24 strategies being implemented and the impact the school improvement
- 25 plan has on learning.
- 26 (h) A school administrator shall not be assigned an evaluation
- 27 rating and will be designated as nonevaluated for a school year if
- 28 any of the following apply to the school administrator:
- 29 (i) The school administrator worked less than 60 days in that

1 school year.

- 2 (ii) The school administrator's evaluation results were vacated 3 through the grievance procedure described in subdivision (o).
 - (iii) There are extenuating circumstances and the school administrator and the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy agree to designate the school administrator as nonevaluated because of the extenuating circumstances.
 - (i) If a school administrator is designated as nonevaluated under subdivision (h), the school administrator's rating from the school year immediately before that designation will be used for consecutive purposes under this section.
 - (j) (h)—The performance evaluation system must ensure that if a school administrator described in this subsection is rated as minimally effective or ineffective, satisfactory or needing support, the person or persons—individual conducting the evaluation shall develop and require the school administrator to implement an improvement plan to correct the deficiencies. The improvement plan must recommend professional development opportunities and other actions designed to improve the rating of the school administrator on his or her the school administrator's next annual—evaluation.
 - (k) (i)—The performance evaluation system must provide that, if a school administrator described in this subsection is rated as ineffective or needing support on 3 consecutive annual—evaluations, the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall dismiss the school administrator from his or her the school administrator's employment. This subdivision does not affect the ability of a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy to dismiss a school administrator from his or

- 1 her the school administrator's employment regardless of whether the
- 2 school administrator is rated as ineffective or needing support on
- 3 3 consecutive annual evaluations.
- 4 (l) The performance evaluation system must provide that, if
- 5 a school administrator is rated as highly effective or effective on
- 6 the 3 most recent consecutive annual—evaluations, the school
- 7 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 8 may choose to conduct an evaluation biennially instead of annually.
- 9 However, if a—either of the following occurs, a school
- 10 administrator's evaluation will be conducted annually:
- 11 (i) The school administrator is not rated as highly effective
- 12 on 1 of these biennial evaluations. , the school administrator
- 13 shall again be provided with annual evaluations.
- 14 (ii) The school administrator's supervisor or evaluator
- 15 changes.
- 16 (m) The performance evaluation system must include a midyear
- 17 progress report for a school administrator each year that the
- 18 school administrator is evaluated. The midyear progress report must
- 19 be used as a supplemental tool to gauge a school administrator's
- 20 improvement from the preceding evaluation and to assist a school
- 21 administrator to improve. Both of the following apply to the
- 22 midyear progress report:
- 23 (i) The midyear progress report must include specific
- 24 performance goals for the remainder of the school year that are
- 25 developed by the individual conducting the year-end evaluation or
- 26 the individual's designee and any recommended training identified
- 27 by the individual or designee that would assist the school
- 28 administrator in meeting these goals. At the midyear progress
- 29 report, the individual conducting the year-end evaluation or the

- 1 individual's designee shall develop, in consultation with the
- 2 school administrator, a written improvement plan that includes
- 3 these goals and training and is designed to assist the school
- 4 administrator to improve the school administrator's rating.
- 5 (ii) The midyear progress report must not take the place of a 6 year-end evaluation.
- 7 (n) As part of the performance evaluation system, a school
- 8 district, intermediate school district, or public school academy
- 9 shall assign a mentor or coach to each school administrator for the
- 10 first 3 years in which the administrator is in a new administrative
- 11 position.
- 12 (o) The performance evaluation system must provide that, if a
- 13 school administrator is rated as needing support on an evaluation,
- 14 the following options must be made available to the school
- 15 administrator:
- 16 (i) The school administrator may request a review of the
- 17 evaluation and the rating by the school district superintendent,
- 18 intermediate superintendent, or chief administrator of the public
- 19 school academy, as applicable. The request for a review must be
- 20 submitted in writing within 30 calendar days after the school
- 21 administrator is informed of the rating. Upon receipt of the
- 22 request, the school district superintendent, intermediate
- 23 superintendent, or chief administrator of the public school
- 24 academy, as applicable, shall review the evaluation and rating and
- 25 may make any modifications as appropriate based on the school
- 26 district superintendent's, intermediate superintendent's, or chief
- 27 administrator's review. A written response regarding the school
- 28 district superintendent's, intermediate superintendent's, or chief
- 29 administrator's findings must be provided to the school

- administrator who requested the review by not later than 30 calendar days after receipt of the request for a review and before making any modifications under this section.
 - (ii) If the written response from the school district superintendent's, intermediate superintendent's, or chief administrator's review does not resolve the matter, the school administrator or collective bargaining unit may demand state mediation concerning the evaluation process.

- (iii) If a school administrator receives 2 consecutive ratings of needing support, the school administrator may demand to use the grievance procedure of an applicable collective bargaining agreement that concerns the school administrator's second evaluation rating and the evaluation process.
- (p) (k)—The school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall provide training to school administrators on the measures used by the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy in its performance evaluation system for school administrators and on how each of the measures is used. This training may be provided by a school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy, or by a consortium consisting of 2 or more of these.
- (q) (H)—A school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy shall ensure that training is provided to all evaluators and observers. The training must be provided by an individual who has expertise in the evaluation tool or tools used by the school district, intermediate school district, or public school academy, which may include either a consultant on that evaluation tool or framework or an individual who has been trained to train others in the use of the evaluation tool or tools. This

- 1 subdivision does not prohibit a school district, intermediate
- 2 school district, public school academy, or consortium consisting of
- 3 2 or more of these, from providing the training in the use of the
- 4 evaluation tool or tools if the trainer has expertise in the
- 5 evaluation tool or tools.
- 6 (2) A school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 7 school academy shall post on its public website all of the
- 8 following information about the measures it uses for its
- 9 performance evaluation system for school administrators:
- 10 (a) The research base for the evaluation framework,
- 11 instrument, and process or, if the school district, intermediate
- 12 school district, or public school academy adapts or modifies an
- 13 evaluation tool from the list under subsection (3), the research
- 14 base for the listed evaluation tool and an assurance that the
- 15 adaptations or modifications do not compromise the validity of that
- 16 research base.
- 17 (b) The identity and qualifications of the author or authors
- 18 or, if the school district, intermediate school district, or public
- 19 school academy adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the list
- 20 under subsection (3), the identity and qualifications of a person
- 21 with expertise in teacher evaluations who has reviewed the adapted
- 22 or modified evaluation tool.
- (c) Either evidence of reliability, validity, and efficacy or
- 24 a plan for developing that evidence or, if the school district,
- 25 intermediate school district, or public school academy adapts or
- 26 modifies an evaluation tool from the list under subsection (3), an
- 27 assurance that the adaptations or modifications do not compromise
- 28 the reliability, validity, or efficacy of the evaluation tool or
- 29 the evaluation process.

- (d) The evaluation frameworks and rubrics, with detailed
 descriptors for each performance level on key summative indicators.
- 3 (e) A description of the processes for collecting evidence,
 4 conducting evaluation conferences, developing performance ratings,
 5 and developing performance improvement plans.
 - (f) A description of the plan for providing evaluators and observers with training.

7

27

28 29

- 8 (3) The department shall establish and maintain a list of 9 school administrator evaluation tools that have demonstrated 10 evidence of efficacy and that may be used for the purposes of this 11 section. That list initially must include at least the 2 evaluation models recommended in the final recommendations released by the 12 Michigan council on educator effectiveness in July 2013. The list 13 14 must include a statement indicating that school districts, 15 intermediate school districts, and public school academies are not limited to only using the evaluation tools that are included on the 16 list. A school district, intermediate school district, or public 17 18 school academy is not required to use an evaluation tool for school administrator evaluations that is the same as it uses for teacher 19 20 evaluations or that has the same author or authors as the evaluation tool it uses for teacher evaluations. The department 21 22 shall promulgate rules establishing standards and procedures for 23 adding an evaluation tool to or removing an evaluation tool from 24 the list. These rules must include a process for a school district, 25 intermediate school district, or public school academy to submit its own evaluation tool for review for placement on the list. 26
 - (4) The training required under subsection (1) must be paid for from the funds available in the educator evaluation reserve fund created under section 95a of the state school aid act of 1979,

1 MCL 388.1695a.

- 2 Sec. 1280f. (1) The department shall do all of the following
- 3 to help ensure that more pupils will achieve a score of at least
- 4 proficient in English language arts on the grade 3 state
- 5 assessment:
- 6 (a) Approve 3 or more valid and reliable screening, formative,
- 7 and diagnostic reading assessment systems for selection and use by
- 8 school districts and public school academies in accordance with the
- 9 following:
- 10 (i) Each approved assessment system must provide a screening
- 11 assessment, monitoring capabilities for monitoring progress toward
- 12 a growth target, and a diagnostic assessment.
- 13 (ii) In determining which assessment systems to approve for use
- 14 by school districts and public school academies, the department
- 15 shall also consider at least the following factors:
- 16 (A) The time required to conduct the assessments, with the
- 17 intention of minimizing the impact on instructional time.
- 18 (B) The level of integration of assessment results with
- 19 instructional support for teachers and pupils.
- 20 (C) The timeliness in reporting assessment results to
- 21 teachers, administrators, and parents.
- 22 (b) Recommend or develop an early literacy coach model with
- 23 the following features:
- 24 (i) An early literacy coach shall support and provide initial
- 25 and ongoing professional development to teachers in all of the
- 26 following:
- 27 (A) Each of the 5 major reading components listed in
- 28 subsection (3)(a)(iv)(B) as needed, based on an analysis of pupil
- 29 performance data.

- 1 (B) Administering and analyzing instructional assessments.
- 2 (C) Providing differentiated instruction and intensive
- 3 intervention.
 - (D) Using progress monitoring.
- 5 (E) Identifying and addressing reading deficiency.
- $oldsymbol{6}$ (ii) An early literacy coach shall also do all of the
- 7 following:

- 8 (A) Model effective instructional strategies for teachers.
- **9** (B) Facilitate study groups.
- 10 (C) Train teachers in data analysis and using data to 11 differentiate instruction.
- 12 (D) Coach and mentor colleagues.
- 13 (E) Work with teachers to ensure that evidence-based reading
 14 programs such as comprehensive core reading programs, supplemental
 15 reading programs, and comprehensive intervention reading programs
 16 are implemented with fidelity.
- 17 (F) Train teachers to diagnose and address reading deficiency.
- 18 (G) Work with teachers in applying evidence-based reading
- 19 strategies in other content areas, including, but not limited to,
- 20 prioritizing time spent on those teachers, activities, and roles
- 21 that will have the greatest impact on pupil achievement and
- 22 prioritizing coaching and mentoring in classrooms.
- (H) Help to increase instructional density to meet the needsof all pupils.
- 25 (I) Help lead and support reading leadership teams at the school.
- 27 (J) Continue to increase his or her the early literacy coach's
- 28 knowledge base in best practices in reading instruction and
- 29 intervention.

- 1 (K) For each teacher who teaches in a classroom for grades K
 2 to 3, model for the teacher, and coach the teacher in, instruction
 3 with pupils in whole and small groups.
- 4 (iii) In the context of performing the functions described in 5 subparagraph (ii), an early literacy coach must not be asked to 6 perform administrative functions that will confuse his or her the 7 early literacy coach's role for teachers.
 - (iv) An early literacy coach must meet all of the following:
- 9 (A) Have experience as a successful classroom teacher.

14

- 10 (B) Have sufficient knowledge of scientifically based reading 11 research, special expertise in quality reading instruction and 12 infusing reading strategies into content area instruction, and data 13 management skills.
 - (C) Have a strong knowledge base in working with adults.
- (D) Have a minimum of a bachelor's degree and advanced
 coursework in reading or have completed professional development in
 evidence-based literacy instructional strategies.
- (v) An early literacy coach must not be assigned a regular classroom teaching assignment, but must be expected to work frequently with pupils in whole and small group instruction or tutoring in the context of modeling and coaching in or outside of teachers' classrooms.
- 23 (2) Subject to subsection (10), the board of a school district 24 or board of directors of a public school academy shall do all of 25 the following to ensure that more pupils will achieve a score of at 26 least proficient in English language arts on the grade 3 state 27 assessment:
- (a) Select 1 valid and reliable screening, formative, anddiagnostic reading assessment system from the assessment systems

- 1 approved by the department under subsection (1)(a). A school
- 2 district or public school academy shall use this assessment system
- 3 for pupils in grades K to 3 to screen and diagnose difficulties,
- 4 inform instruction and intervention needs, and assess progress
- 5 toward a growth target. A school district or public school academy
- 6 periodically shall assess a pupil's progress in reading skills at
- 7 least 3 times per school year in grades K to 3. The first of these
- 8 assessments for a school year in grades 1 to 3 must be conducted
- 9 within the first $\frac{30}{90}$ school days of the school year.
- 10 (b) For any pupil in grades K to 3 who exhibits a reading
- 11 deficiency at any time, based upon the reading assessment system
- 12 selected and used under subdivision (a), provide an individual
- 13 reading improvement plan for the pupil within 30 days after the
- 14 identification of the reading deficiency. The individual reading
- 15 improvement plan must be created by the pupil's teacher, school
- 16 principal, and parent or legal quardian and other pertinent school
- 17 personnel, and must describe the reading intervention services the
- 18 pupil will receive to remedy the reading deficiency. A school
- 19 district or public school academy shall provide intensive reading
- 20 intervention for the pupil in accordance with the individual
- 21 reading improvement plan until the pupil no longer has a reading
- 22 deficiency.
- (c) If a pupil in grades K to 3 is identified as having an
- 24 early literacy delay or reading deficiency, provide written notice
- 25 to the pupil's parent or legal guardian of the delay or reading
- 26 deficiency in writing and provide tools to assist the parent or
- 27 legal guardian to engage in intervention and to address or correct
- 28 any reading deficiency at home.
- 29 (d) Require a school principal or chief administrator to do

- 1 all of the following:
- 2 (i) For a teacher in grades K to 3, target specific areas of
 3 professional development based on the reading development needs
- 4 data for incoming pupils.
- 5 (ii) Differentiate and intensify professional development for
 6 teachers based on data gathered by monitoring teacher progress in
 7 improving pupil proficiency rates among their pupils.
- 8 (iii) Establish a collaborative system within the school to9 improve reading proficiency rates in grades K to 3.
- (iv) Ensure that time is provided for teachers to meet for $\mathbf{11}$ professional development.
- (e) Utilize, at least, early literacy coaches provided through 12 the intermediate school district in which the school district or 13 14 public school academy is located, as provided for under section 35a(4) of the state school aid act of 1979, MCL 388.1635a. However, 15 16 a public school academy may use an early literacy coach provided by 17 the public school academy, at the expense of the public school academy, rather than using an early literacy coach provided through 18 an intermediate school district if the early literacy coach and the 19 20 usage of the early literacy coach otherwise meet the requirements 21 of this section.
- (3) Subject to subsection (10), a school district or public
 school academy shall provide reading intervention programs for
 pupils in grades K to 3, including at least all of the following:
- (a) For pupils who exhibit a reading deficiency, a reading intervention program intended to ensure that pupils are proficient readers by the end of grade 3 and that includes some or all of the following features:
- 29 (i) Is provided to each pupil in grades K to 3 who is

- 1 identified with a reading deficiency based on screening and
- 2 diagnostic tools, and identifies and addresses the pupil's reading
- 3 deficiency.
- $\mathbf{4}$ (ii) Periodically screens and monitors the progress of each
- 5 pupil's reading skills, at least 3 times per year.
- 6 (iii) Provides evidence-based core reading instruction that is
- 7 comprehensive and meets the majority of the general education
- 8 classroom needs.
- 9 (iv) Provides reading intervention that meets, at a minimum,
- 10 the following specifications:
- 11 (A) Assists pupils exhibiting a reading deficiency in
- 12 developing the ability to read at grade level.
- 13 (B) Provides intensive development in the 5 major reading
- 14 components: phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, vocabulary, and
- 15 comprehension.
- 16 (C) Is systematic, explicit, multisensory, and sequential.
- 17 (D) Is implemented during regular school hours in addition to
- 18 regular classroom reading instruction.
- 19 (v) Provides parents, legal guardians, or other providers of
- 20 care for the pupil with a read-at-home plan, including parent,
- 21 guardian, or care provider training workshops and regular home
- 22 reading.
- 23 (vi) Documents efforts by the pupil's school to engage the
- 24 pupil's parent or legal guardian and whether or not those efforts
- 25 were successful.
- 26 (vii) Documents any dissenting opinions expressed by school
- 27 personnel or a parent or legal quardian concerning the individual
- 28 reading improvement plan provided for the pupil under subsection
- **29** (2) (b).

- 1 (b) For grade 3 pupils exhibiting a reading deficiency as
 2 determined by the pupil's teacher through the diagnostic reading
 3 assessment system selected by the school district or public school
 4 academy under subsection (2)(a), a reading intervention program
 5 intended to correct the identified area or areas of reading
 6 deficiency and that includes all of the following features as
 7 needed by the individual pupil:
- 8 (i) Is evidence-based and has proven results in accelerating9 pupil reading achievement within the same school year.

12

13 14

15

16

- (ii) Provides more dedicated time than the pupil's previous school year in evidence-based reading instruction and intervention.
- (iii) Provides daily targeted small group or 1-to-1 reading intervention based on pupil needs as determined by assessment data, including explicit and systematic instruction with more detailed and varied explanations, more extensive opportunities for guided practice, and more opportunities for error correction and feedback.
- (iv) Provides administration of ongoing progress monitoring assessments to frequently monitor pupil progress.
- (v) Provides supplemental evidence-based reading intervention delivered by a teacher, tutor, or volunteer with specialized reading training that is provided before school, after school, during school hours but outside of regular English language arts classroom time, or any combination of these.
- (vi) Provides parents, legal guardians, or other providers of
 care for a pupil with a read-at-home plan, including parent,
 guardian, or care provider training workshops and regular home
 reading.
- (vii) Documents efforts by the pupil's school to engage the pupil's parent or legal guardian and whether or not those efforts

- 1 were successful.
- 2 (viii) Documents any dissenting opinions expressed by school
- 3 personnel or a parent or legal guardian concerning the individual
- 4 reading improvement plan provided for the pupil under subsection
- **5** (2) (b).
- 6 (c) Subject to subsection (11), for pupils identified as
- 7 English language learners by the pupil's teacher or by the
- 8 diagnostic reading assessment selected by the school district or
- 9 public school academy under subsection (2)(a), intervention
- 10 services that include at least all of the following:
- (i) Ongoing assessments that provide actionable data for
- 12 teachers to use in interventions.
- (ii) Instruction in academic vocabulary.
- (iii) Instruction in the 5 major reading components listed in
- 15 subdivision (a) (iv) (B).
- (iv) Common English language development strategies such as
- 17 modeling, guided practice, and comprehensive input.
- 18 (4) For all pupils exhibiting a reading deficiency as
- 19 determined by the pupil's teacher through the diagnostic reading
- 20 assessment system selected by the school district or public school
- 21 academy under subsection (2)(a), school districts and public school
- 22 academies are encouraged to offer summer reading camps staffed with
- 23 highly effective teachers of reading, as determined by the teacher
- 24 evaluation system under section 1249, providing reading
- 25 intervention services and supports to correct pupils' identified
- 26 areas of reading deficiency.
- 27 (5) After the department finalizes the scoring for the grade 3
- 28 state assessments, whichever is earlier, the department shall
- 29 provide CEPI with the grade 3 state assessment scores for every

- grade 3 pupil enrolled in a public school in this state who was
 administered 1 or more of those assessments.
- **3** (6) After CEPI receives the grade 3 state assessment results
- 4 from the department under subsection (5), using those state
- 5 assessment results, CEPI shall identify each pupil completing grade
- 6 3 that year who has a reading deficiency and shall notify the
- 7 parent or legal guardian and the school district or public school
- 8 academy of each of these pupils that the pupil has a reading
- 9 deficiency; shall include an explanation in the notification
- 10 concerning what constitutes a reading deficiency; and shall
- 11 include, in the notification, information concerning interventions
- 12 that are available to the pupil to address the pupil's reading
- 13 deficiency. A school district or public school academy may also
- 14 make its own notification to a parent or guardian in addition to
- 15 the notification by CEPI.
- 16 (7) Except as otherwise provided in this section, for a grade
- 17 3 pupil who has a reading deficiency based on the grade 3 state
- 18 English language arts assessment, the school district or public
- 19 school academy shall provide, only through grade 4, a reading
- 20 intervention program that is intended to correct the pupil's
- 21 specific reading deficiency, as identified by a valid and reliable
- 22 assessment. This program must include effective instructional
- 23 strategies necessary to assist the pupil in becoming a successful
- 24 reader, and all of the following features, as appropriate for the
- 25 needs of the individual pupil:
- 26 (a) Assigning to a pupil 1 or more of the following:
- 27 (i) A highly $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{n}$ effective teacher of reading as determined by
- 28 the teacher evaluation system under section 1249.
- 29 (ii) The highest evaluated grade 3 teacher in the school as

- 1 determined by the teacher evaluation system under section 1249.
- 2 (iii) A reading specialist.

11 12

13

14

15

- 3 (b) Reading programs that are evidence-based and have proven
 4 results in accelerating pupil reading achievement within the same
 5 school year.
- (c) Reading instruction and intervention for the majority of
 pupil contact time each day that incorporates opportunities to
 master the grade 4 state standards in other core academic areas, if
 applicable.
 - (d) Daily targeted small group or 1-to-1 reading intervention that is based on pupil needs, determined by assessment data, and on identified reading deficiencies and that includes explicit and systematic instruction with more detailed and varied explanations, more extensive opportunities for guided practice, and more opportunities for error correction and feedback.
- (e) Administration of ongoing progress monitoring assessmentsto frequently monitor pupil progress toward a growth target.
- 18 (f) Supplemental evidence-based reading intervention delivered
 19 by a teacher or tutor with specialized reading training that is
 20 provided before school, after school, during regular school hours
 21 but outside of regular English language arts classroom time, or any
 22 combination of these.
- (g) Providing parents, legal guardians, or other providers of
 care for the pupil with a read-at-home plan, including parent,
 guardian, or care provider training workshops and regular home
 reading.
- (8) For a pupil or child described in subsection (7) who has a
 reading deficiency at the end of grade 4, the school district or
 public school academy shall provide intensive reading intervention

- 1 beyond grade 4 to the pupil, in a manner determined by the school
- 2 district or public school academy, until the pupil no longer has a
- 3 reading deficiency.
- 4 (9) If a school district or public school academy cannot
- 5 furnish the number of teachers needed to satisfy 1 or more of the
- 6 criteria set forth in this section for a school year, then by the
- 7 August 15 before the beginning of that school year the school
- 8 district or public school academy shall develop a staffing plan for
- 9 providing services under this section. The school district or
- 10 public school academy shall post the staffing plan on its website
- 11 for the applicable school year. The staffing plan must include at
- 12 least all of the following:
- 13 (a) A description of the criteria that will be used to assign
- 14 a pupil who has been identified as not proficient in English
- 15 language arts to a teacher.
- 16 (b) The credentials or training held by teachers currently
- 17 teaching at the school.
- 18 (c) How the school district or public school academy will meet
- 19 the requirements under this section.
- 20 (10) This section does not require or state an intention to
- 21 require a school district or public school academy to supplant
- 22 state funds with federal funds for implementing or supporting the
- 23 activities under this section and does not prohibit a school
- 24 district or public school academy from continuing to use federal
- 25 funds for any of the purposes or activities described in this
- 26 section.
- 27 (11) For pupils identified as English language learners by the
- 28 pupil's teacher or by the diagnostic reading assessment selected by
- 29 the school district or public school academy under subsection

- 1 (2)(a), if available staff resources allow, a school district or
- 2 public school academy is encouraged to provide the following
- 3 intervention services in addition to those required under
- 4 subsection (3)(c):
- 5 (a) Instruction in the pupil's native language, with
- 6 withdrawal of that instruction as appropriate as the pupil improves
- 7 his or her English language skills. A school district or public
- 8 school academy is encouraged to provide this support for at least
- 9 pupils whose native language is Spanish, Chinese, Hindi, Korean, or
- 10 Arabic.
- 11 (b) Opportunities for speech production.
- 12 (c) Common English language development strategies such as
- 13 modeling, guided practice, and comprehensive input.
- 14 (d) Feedback for the pupil, including explanations in his or
- 15 her native language.
- 16 (12) As used in this section:
- 17 (a) "CEPI" means the center for educational performance and
- 18 information created under section 94a of the state school aid act
- 19 of 1979, MCL 388.1694a.
- 20 (b) (a) "Evidence-based" means based in research and with
- 21 proven efficacy.
- (c) (b) "Reading deficiency" means scoring below grade level
- 23 or being determined to be at risk of reading failure based on a
- 24 screening assessment, diagnostic assessment, standardized summative
- 25 assessment, or progress monitoring.
- 26 (d) (c) "Reading leadership team" means a collaborative system
- 27 led by a school building's principal or program director and
- 28 consisting of a cross-section of faculty who are interested in
- 29 working to improve literacy instruction across the curriculum.

```
Enacting section 1. Sections 1249a, 1250, 1531j, and 1531k of
 1
 2
    the revised school code, 1976 PA 451, MCL 380.1249a, 380.1250,
    380.1531j, and 380.1531k, are repealed.
 3
         Enacting section 2. This amendatory act takes effect July 1,
 4
    2024.
 5
         Enacting section 3. This amendatory act does not take effect
 6
    unless all of the following bills of the 102nd Legislature are
 8
    enacted into law:
          (a) Senate Bill No.____ or House Bill No.____ (request no.
 9
10
    00299'23 a).
11
          (b) Senate Bill No. or House Bill No. (request no.
12
    00299'23 b).
13
         (c) Senate Bill No. 396.
14
15
         (d) Senate Bill No. or House Bill No. (request no.
16
    03282'23).
```