

Legislative Analysis



MUNICIPAL COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL IMMIGRATION ENFORCEMENT MEASURES

Phone: (517) 373-8080
<http://www.house.mi.gov/hfa>

House Resolution 19 as introduced
Sponsor: Rep. Matt Hall
Committee: Government Operations
Complete to 2-11-25

Analysis available at
<http://www.legislature.mi.gov>

SUMMARY:

House Resolution 19 would amend Rule 52 of the standing rules of the House to provide that an appropriation bill or conference report cannot be brought for a vote if it contains a ***legislatively directed spending item*** whose intended recipient is a ***municipality*** (including an official, department, or board of a municipality) that does either of the following:

- Actively maintains a rule, policy, or ordinance that would subvert immigration enforcement in any way.
- Refuses to comply with federal immigration enforcement measures.

Legislatively directed spending item would mean an appropriation that authorizes or obligates a specific amount of money for a contract or other expenditure with a grant, loan, or other economic assistance or incentive to a specific person, organization, unit of local government, or project or activity in a unit of local government, other than through a formula-driven or competitive award process.

Municipality would mean a county, city, village, or township.

The resolution would also provide that an appropriation bill or conference report cannot be brought for a vote if it contains a legislatively directed spending item whose intended recipient is a municipality, unless the municipality has submitted both of the following to the House:

- The municipality's rules, policies, and ordinances related to federal immigration law and immigration enforcement measures, including any rules, policies, and ordinances regarding U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), ICE detainer requests, and the municipality's intent to work with federal authorities or to actively harbor or shield illegal aliens from federal enforcement authorities or operations.
- An official letter from the municipality, signed by its chief executive officer, its duly elected board, or an individual who is legally authorized to act on its behalf, certifying the following:
 - That the municipality's rules, policies, and ordinances do not include language that requires, encourages, or supports subverting immigration enforcement in any way or refusing to comply with federal immigration enforcement measures.
 - That the municipality will comply with federal immigration law.

In addition, Rule 52 currently prohibits bringing an appropriation bill or conference report for a vote that contains enhancement grants unless proper disclosure of the sponsor and intended recipient and a description of the enhancement grant has been made as established by the House

by resolution.¹ House Resolution 19 would amend this provision to refer to *legislatively directed spending items* (defined as above) instead of *enhancement grants*.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The resolution would not have a direct fiscal impact on the state or local units of government.

Legislative Analyst: Rick Yuille
Fiscal Analyst: Ben Gielczyk

■ This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan House Fiscal Agency staff for use by House members in their deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.

¹ See HR 14: <https://www.legislature.mi.gov/Bills/Bill?ObjectName=2025-HR-0014>