



Senate Fiscal Agency
P.O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536



Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bill 82 (Substitute S-2 as reported by the Committee of the Whole)

Sponsor: Senator Stephanie Chang

Committee: Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety

CONTENT

The bill would enact the "Judicial Protection Act" to do the following:

- Allow a judge to request that a public body or person remove or not post the judge's or judge's family's personal identifying information and specify what personal identifying information would mean.
- Require the Supreme Court Administrative Office (SCAO) to prescribe a request form.
- Require a public body or person that had posted a judge's or family member's personal information to remove that information within five business days of receiving a request.
- Allow the SCAO to submit a request on behalf of a State court judge.
- Specify that the Act would not apply to personal identifying information that was a matter of public concern, was voluntarily published by the judge or the judge's immediate family member, or was used for other specified activities.
- Allow a judge or judge's family member to commence a civil action if a public body or person were not complying with a request and prescribe a process to compel compliance.

The bill would take effect 180 days after its enactment.

BRIEF RATIONALE

In July 2020, a former litigant under Federal Judge Ester Salas came to her home posed as a delivery man and opened fire, killing her son and severely wounding her husband. The gunman obtained Judge Salas' personal identifying information and address online.¹ In response, the Federal government enacted the Daniel Anderl Judicial Security and Privacy Act, which protects Federal judges personal identifying information. Accordingly, it has been suggested to enact a law to protect the personal identifying information of State-level judges.

Legislative Analyst: Eleni Lionas

FISCAL IMPACT

The bill could have a negative but minimal fiscal impact on State and local governments, the SCAO, and local court systems. Depending on the number of State and local governments that post judges' personally identifying information online, some costs could be incurred to remove such information or appear in court once served with a summons. The SCAO could incur minor costs to make requests to remove personally identifying information on behalf of judges or justices. Local court systems could see an increase in filings or hearings that could incur administrative costs under the language of the bill. None of these costs for State or local systems are expected to be excessive and likely could be absorbed by existing appropriations.

Date Completed: 3-18-25 Fiscal Analyst: Bobby Canell; Joe Carrasco, Jr.; Michael Siracuse

¹ "Congress Passes the Daniel Anderl Judicial Security and Privacy Act" United States Courts. www.uscourts.gov/news/2022/12/16/congress-passes-daniel-anderl-judicial-security-and-privacy-act.