



Senate Fiscal Agency
P.O. Box 30036
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7536

BILL ANALYSIS



Telephone: (517) 373-5383
Fax: (517) 373-1986

Senate Bills 225 and 226 (as reported without amendment)

Sponsor: Senator Dayna Polehanki (S.B. 225)

Senator Rosemary Bayer (S.B. 226)

Committee: Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety

CONTENT

Senate Bill 225 would amend the handgun licensure law to prohibit an individual from carrying a concealed pistol in the Michigan State Capitol Building, the Anderson House Office Building, and the Binsfeld Senate Office Building unless that individual was a serving member of the Michigan Senate or Michigan House of Representatives who had a concealed pistol license (CPL).

Senate Bill 226 would amend the Michigan Penal Code to prohibit an individual from possessing a firearm in the Michigan State Capitol Building, the Anderson House Office Building, and the Binsfeld Senate Office Building unless that individual was a serving member of the Michigan Senate or Michigan House of Representatives who had a CPL.

MCL 28.425o (S.B. 225)
750.234d (S.B. 226)

BRIEF RATIONALE

Currently, the Michigan Capitol Commission prohibits weapons in the State Capitol following an incident in which armed protestors entered the building.^{1,2} According to testimony before the Senate Committee on Civil Rights, Judiciary, and Public Safety, legislators should be able to conduct their business, engage in debate, and pass laws without concerns for the threat of firearms. It has been suggested to codify the Commission's Capitol weapons ban and extend the ban to legislative buildings

PREVIOUS LEGISLATION

(This section does not provide a comprehensive account of previous legislative efforts on this subject matter.)

Senate Bills 225 and 226 are reintroductions of Senate Bills 857 and 858 of the 2023-2024 Legislative Session, respectively. Senate Bill 857 passed the Senate and was referred to the House Committee on Judiciary but received no further action. Senate Bill 858 passed the Senate but received no further action.

Legislative Analyst: Eleni Lionas

¹ "New Procedure for Security in the Michigan State Capitol Building", Michigan State Capitol Commission. September 23, 2023. https://cdn.prod.website-files.com/65c44bbccb9a41d80dd87dc3/66045f3da40f1f800b466732_Security-Procedure.pdf

² "Michigan Bans Open Carry of Guns Inside State Capitol" PBS News. Retrieved 11-23-24. <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/politics/michigan-bans-open-carry-of-guns-inside-state-capitol>

FISCAL IMPACT

Senate Bill 225

The bill's criminal penalties could have a negative fiscal impact on State and local government. Violations of the bill would be punishable as misdemeanors and felonies of different severity. More misdemeanor and felony arrests and convictions could increase resource demands on law enforcement, court systems, community supervision, jails, and correctional facilities. Misdemeanor convictions could increase county jail and local probation supervision costs, which vary by jurisdiction and are thus indeterminate. Based on 2023 data, the average cost to State government for felony probation supervision is approximately \$4,600 per probationer per year. For any increase in prison intakes the average annual cost of housing a prisoner in a State correctional facility is an estimated \$48,700. Per diem rates range from \$100 to \$431 per day (average per diem is \$135), depending on the security level of the facility. Additionally, any associated fine revenue would increase funding to public libraries.

Senate Bill 226

The bill could have an indeterminate negative fiscal impact and an indeterminate positive fiscal impact on State and local government. New misdemeanor arrests and convictions under the bill could increase resource demands on law enforcement, court systems, community supervision, and jails; however, it is unknown how many people would be prosecuted under provisions of the bill. Local jail costs vary by jurisdiction and thus costs for local governments would vary. Local revenue to local libraries could increase under the bill as any additional revenue from imposed fines would go to local libraries.

Date Completed: 6-6-25

Fiscal Analyst: Joe Carrasco, Jr.

SAS\Floors2526\sb225

This analysis was prepared by nonpartisan Senate staff for use by the Senate in its deliberations and does not constitute an official statement of legislative intent.